

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/634,691	08/0	08/2000	Hoyt A. Fleming III	500122.02	6789	
27076	7590	08/22/2002				
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP			EXAMINER			
SUITE 3400	JAL PROP	ERTY DEPART	LE, HIEU C			
1420 FIFTH A SEATTLE, W				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,				2153	1.1	
				DATE MAILED: 08/22/2002	1.1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• .		4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/634,691	FLEMING, HOYT A.
 Office Action Summary 	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Hieu c. Le	2153
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are provided by the Computer of the maximum states. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply of the Computer of the Month of the Computer of the Month of the Computer of the Month of the M	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. l) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .	
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
/-	<i>,</i> —	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the pract		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-14,16,17 and 20-23</u> i	s/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-14,16,17 and 20-23</u> is	a/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed	l on is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are req	uired in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lan 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim form 		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1 	TO-948) 5) 🔲 Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 11

Application/Control Number: 09/634691

Art Unit: 2153

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8, 11-14, 16, 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall [US. Pat. No. 5,930,479] in view of Gross et al. [US. Pat. No. 5,283,856].

As to claim 1, Hall discloses a method in a computer system for filtering unauthorized electronic mail messages that are sent by senders to a user, each sender having an identification each electronic mail message including the identification of the sender (col. 8, lines 48-53, col. 10, line 30-33), the method comprising:

providing a list of the identifications of the senders who are authorized to send an electronic mail message to the user [a user channel database (list) that records a channel ID and address of the correspondent (col. 10, lines 26-42)];

for each of a plurality of electronic mail messages (fig. 2, items 208a, 210a),

determining whether the sender of the electronic mail message is authorized by determining whether the identification of sender in the electronic mail message is in the provided list of the identifications of the senders who are authorized [send mail lookup user's name in the system password file (a standard database defining the valid users of the system, and separates the

Art Unit: 2153

address into name and channel ID, and matches the channel ID of the incoming message with one of the lines in the channels file 212 (col. 11, lines 40-49) for verify that the message is authorized for delivery to the recipient (Abstract, lines 7-8)];

Hall does not disclose,

when the sender of the electronic mail message is determined to be not authorized, storing the electronic mail message in a second folder designated for electronic mail messages received from unauthorized senders; and

when the sender of the electronic mail message is determined to be authorized, storing the electronic mail message in a first folder designated for electronic mail messages received from authorized senders.

whereby the electronic mail messages are automatically stored in the appropriate folder based on whether the sender is authorized so that the user can view the first folder containing the electronic mail messages sent by authorized senders separately from the second folder containing the electronic mail messages sent by unauthorized senders.

Gross discloses a conditional rule based system which can be transparently implemented for mapping specific mail messages (col. 2, lines 40-54). If- then sequences are automatically used to process or "filter" messages in many folders .New/messages are filtered in different folders with Junk mail "unauthorized messages" in the trash folder and other new messages in other folders (col. 17, lines 73-79).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/634691

Art Unit: 2153

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Gross's teachings to modify Hall's method by using an "If-then" filter to filter new incoming mail in different folders with junk mail "unauthorized mail" in a trash folder in order to provide a flexible, efficient system which can be implemented transparently to filter electronic - mail.

As to claim 2, Hall further discloses wherein when the user sends an electronic mail message to a recipient, the identification of the recipient is automatically added to the provided list of the identifications of senders who are authorized to send electronic mail message to the user (col. 12, lines 57-61).

As to claim 3, Hall further discloses wherein the provided list of the identifications of the senders is generated by adding the identification of senders of previously received electronic mail messages (col. 21, lines 56-63).

As to claim 4, Hall further discloses wherein the provided list of the identifications of the senders is generated by adding the identification of recipients of previously sent electronic mail messages (col. 17, lines 26-30).

As to claim 5, Hall discloses a method in a computer system for filtering unauthorized messages, each message having a sender, the method comprising:

for each of a plurality of messages (Fig. 2, items 208a, 210a),

determining whether the sender of the message is designated as being authorized [send mail lookup user's name in the system password file (a standard database defining the valid users

Art Unit: 2153

of the system), and separates the address into name and channel ID, and matches the channel ID of the incoming message with one of the lines in the channels file 212 (col. 11, lines 40-49) for verify that the message is authorized for delivery to the recipient (Abstract, lines 7-8)];

when the sender of the message is determined to be authorized, indicating that the message is from an authorized sender (col. 11, lines 48-50 and col. 12, lines 6-25).

Hall does not disclose,

when the sender of the message is determined to be not authorized,

storing the message in a predesignated location for messages sent by unauthorized senders.

Gross discloses a conditional rule based system which can be transparently implemented for mapping specific mail messages (col. 2, lines 40-54). If- then sequences are automatically used to process or "filter" messages in many folders .New/messages are filtered in different folders with Junk mail "unauthorized messages" in the trash folder and other new messages in other folders (col. 17, lines 73-79).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Gross's teachings to modify Hall's method by using an "If-then" filter to filter new incoming mail in different folders with junk mail "unauthorized mail" in a trash folder in order to provide a flexible, efficient system which can be implemented transparently to filter electronic mail.

As to claim 6, Hall further discloses wherein the recipient of the messages

Application/Control Number: 09/634691

Art Unit: 2153

can identify whether a message is authorized based solely on the indications [the message is either accepted or rejected (col. 11, lines 48-52)].

As to claim 8, Hall further discloses wherein the message is an electronic mail message and the pre-designated location is a folder [the message is an e-mail message (col. 5, line 8) and the authorized message is discarded (i.e. stored in a deleted file) (col. 22, lines 15-19)].

As to claim 11, Hall further discloses wherein the computer system includes a list of authorized senders (col. 10, lines 26-42 & Fig. 4) and wherein the determining whether the sender of the message is designated as being authorized includes determining whether the sender is in the list of authorized senders (col. 11, lines 40-49, col. 21, lines 56-63).

As to claim 12, Hall further discloses wherein the list of authorized senders is generated by adding the senders of the previously received messages to the list (col. 21, lines 56-63).

As to claim 13, Hall further discloses wherein the list of authorized senders is generated by adding the recipients of the previously sent messages to the list (col. 17, lines 26-30).

As to claim 14, Hall further discloses wherein the list is shared by multiple users (col. 9, lines 44-47, Fig. 2).

As to claim 16, Hall further discloses wherein when the recipient sends a message to an intended recipient, the intended recipient is added to the list as an authorized sender (col.12, lines 57-61).

As to claim 20, refer to claim 1 rejection.

As to claim 21, refer to claim 2 rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/634691

Art Unit: 2153

As to claim 22, refer to claim 3 rejection.

As to claim 23, Hall uses a filter to reject unauthorized messages by discarding (i.e. forwarding the message to a delete folder) (col. 22, lines 15-19).

Hall does not explicitly disclose that the folder is labeled Junk mail. However, it is obvious to label a folder that is labeled discard and contains filtered e-mail from unauthorized sender and is used in the context of filtering Junk mail.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall [US. Pat. No. 5,930,479] in view of Gross et al. [US. Pat. No. 5,283,856] as applied to claim 5 above and further in view of Birrell et al. [US. Pat. No. 6,092,101].

As to claim 9, neither Hall nor Gross discloses including when displaying a list of messages, displaying a visual indication as to whether the message has been indicated as being sent from an authorized or unauthorized sender.

Birrell discloses a system for filtering unauthorized messages, messages from authorized senders is placed in the Inbox (col. 11, lines 41-44) (i.e. the message is indicated by a label Inbox for the user's attention) messages from unauthorized senders only given the unread label (col. 11, lines 45-46), the messages are displayed and labeled as Inbox or unread (col. 9, lines 9-18).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Birell's teachings to modify the combined method of Hall and Gross by displaying a visual indication (label) to indicate that the message is from authorized sender (Inbox) or

Art Unit: 2153

unauthorized sender (unread) in order to facilitate mail handing, particularly for some one receiving a large amount of e-mail and reduce the amount of junk e-mail he receives.

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall [US. Pat. No. 5,930,479] in view of Gross et al [US. Pat. No. 5,283,856] in view of Birrell et al. [US. Pat. No. 6,092,101] as applied to claim 9 and further in view of Microsoft Corporation, "Excerpts from online documentation of Microsoft Exchange", version 5.0.1458.47, 1986-1997, 11pp.

As to claim 10, neither Hall nor Gross nor Birell disclose the limitation, wherein the visual indication is the dimming of the messages that are sent from unauthorized senders in the list of messages.

Microsoft Corporation discloses a visual indication method used in e-mail message to dim profile message (page 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Microsoft's teaching to modify the combined method of Hall, Gross and Birell by dimming the junk mail messages in the list of messages in order to indicate to the user that these messages are irrelevant to his interest and save him valuable hours to be wasted in dealing with junk mail.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/634691

Art Unit: 2153

5. Claims 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall [US. Pat. No. 5,930,479] in view of Gross et al [US. Pat. No. 5,283,856] as applied to claim 5 and further in view of Canale et al. [US. Pat. No. 5,619,648].

As to claim 17, neither Hall nor Gross disclose wherein the indicating that the message is from an unauthorized sender includes forwarding the message from an unauthorized to another user.

Canale discloses a method for reducing the amount of junk e-mail received by a user of an e-mail system. The mail filter for potential recipient has access to a list of the e-mail messages sent and received by the potential recipient and used the list of e-mail messages to determine correspondents of the potential recipient and forwards the messages to those recipients (col. 2, lines 27-44). The system permits the recipient of the e-mail to select which of the correspondents (another users) is to receive the e-mail (col. 4, lines 2-8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Canale's teaching to modify the combined method of Hall and Gross' in order to facilitate mail handling, particularly for some one receiving a large amount of e-mail and reduce the amount of junk e-mail he receives.

Art Unit: 2153

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Hieu Le whose telephone number is (703) 306-3101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 A.M.to 4:00 P.M..

The fax number of this Group 2757 is (703) 308-5397 or 308-9051.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner